

The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DEMARCO PRESSLEY,  
Defendant.

No. CR20-156-RSM

**ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Demarco Pressley's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$34,666.12, reflecting the proceeds Defendant obtained from his commission of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2) and 2.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2) and 2, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §982(a)(2);

- 1 • In his Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum
- 2 of money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §982(a)(2), as it reflects the proceeds he
- 3 obtained from his commission of the offense of Bank Fraud (Dkt. No 472,
- 4 ¶ 13); and,
- 5 • This forfeiture money judgment is personal to Defendant; pursuant to
- 6 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), and no
- 7 third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.
- 8

9 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

10 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2), and his Plea Agreement, Defendant’s

11 interest in the above-identified sum of money in the amount of \$34,666.12 is fully and

12 finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

13 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become

14 final as to Defendant at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence, and

15 it will be included in the judgment;

16 3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party

17 other than the United States;

18 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money,

19 in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to

20 include substitute property having a value not to exceed this sum of money;

21 5. The United States has agreed it will request the Attorney General apply any

22 amounts it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited sum to the restitution that is

23 ordered;

24 6. The United States has also agreed that any amount the Defendant pays

25 toward restitution will be credited against this forfeited sum; and

26 //

1           7.     The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing  
2 this Order, as necessary.

3  
4           IT IS SO ORDERED.

5           DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023.

6  
7  
8           

9           RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
10          UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Presented by:

18          s/ Karyn S. Johnson

19 KARYN S. JOHNSON  
20 Assistant United States Attorney  
21 United States Attorney's Office  
22 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
23 Seattle, Washington 98101  
24 Phone: (206) 553-2462  
25 Fax: (206) 553-6934  
26 Karyn.S.Johnson@usdoj.gov  
27